UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,675	10/29/2003	Bernard Bon	A34252-I - 070337.0358	5043
	7590 08/07/200 ORTH AMERICA, INC	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUA	AL PROPERTY DEPA	VO, HAI		
MARC BLDG 31-2 515 MICHELIN ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GREENVILLE	, SC 29605	1771		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2007	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/695,675	BON, BERNARD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hai Vo	1771	

	Hai Vo	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	vit, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ai no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH ).	ng date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NC		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ul><li>4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li><li>5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>		ompliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-15 and 17-28</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	л	dai Vol	
		Hai Vo/ rimary Examiner, Art	Unit 1771

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: all of the art rejections are maintained. Applicant's representative argues that Mizata does not teach an expanded blank comprising a blowing agent in an amount greater than 2 phr as recited in claim 10. Mizata teaches an expandable blank comprising a blowing agent in an amount from 4 to 10 phr. Therefore, Mizata does not anticipate the claimed subject matter. The examiner disagrees with Applicant's representative. It is generally known in the art that some portions of the blowing agent present in the foamable composition will be consumed during foaming process, the blowing agent is thus technically present in the expanded blank in the amount less than 10 phr. This overlaps with the range instantly claimed, i.e., greater than 2 phr. Accordingly, it is the examiner's position that the claimed subject matter does not disclose the Mizata expanded product. Park teaches a foamable composition comprising water as a blowing agent in an amount of 3.6 phr which is within the claimed range (3 to 6 phr). Likewise, the foamed product would technically contain water in the amount less than 3.6 phr which is overlapping with the claimed range which is greater than 2.

The Sahnoune reference was issued from an IA which has an international filing date after 11/29/2000, designated the US and was published in English by WIPO, the 102(e) date of the Sahnoune reference is the international filing date of February 15, 2001 in accordance with 35 USC 102(e) and 374, by enactment of HR 2215. Therefore, Sahnoune is prior art against the present invention. Further, Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.